



Patent
Attorney's Docket No. 024444-819

#7
Reg for Rec.
Hil
3/22/02

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of)
Åke ÖSTLUND et al.) Group Art Unit: 3722
Application No.: 09/652,028) Examiner: H. Tsai
Filed: August 31, 2000)
For: COATED MILLING INSERT)

RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §1.111

Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

In complete response to the Official Action dated February 8, 2002, please consider the following remarks.

RECEIVED
MAR 21 2002
TO 3700 MAIL ROOM

REMARKS

Entry of the foregoing, reexamination and reconsideration of the subject application are respectfully requested in light of the comments which follow.

As correctly noted in the Office Action Summary, claims 1-10 were pending.

Upon entry of the present response, claims 1-10 remain pending and await further consideration on the merits.

DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTION

Claims 1-10 stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,177,178 to Östlund et al. (hereafter